Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,838	CHENG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leigh C. Maier	1623
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Leigh C. Maier</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Carl Morales</u> .	(4)	·
Date of Interview: <u>17 May 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nnt's representative)	·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: newly issued Wang et al (US 7,141,540)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	B DISCUSSED:
Part III.		·
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(5		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exr indicated that claims were essentially in condition for allowance. However, upon updating the search exr found newly issued '540. This reference discloses linear polymers having pendant cyclodextrins and covalently attached "targeting moieties." The targeting moieties are described in such a way as to overlap with the instant "therapeutic agents." As in Gonzalez, there would be no motivation to make the attachments cleavable under biological conditions. Such a limitation to claim 3 would overcome a potential rejection over Wang. Mr. Morales authorized addition of said limitation by examiner's amendment. Upon further consideration of the generic treatment claim (former claim 20), it was determined that such a method claim was acceptable and exr suggested addition of such a claim - new claim 46.